G.R.S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1921.

File No. 95-21

Serial Nos. 1-4

Later references.

LR. 604-44-1-3

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-4

Part B for Septr 22

SUBJECT Balamanya allowance enjoyed by Lakshamma Avaru deceased wife of the late Devaparthina Bahadur

To. Dc. Mysore
Comptr
Mus Commr
Passes &c &c re disbursal of the

1109-11.
dy 6.9.22

, Printed on the Multigraph.

22/11/22

G. R. S. 11] 1921.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inam)

NOTES.

File No 95-24

FILE HEADING.

Bhatamanya allowance enjoyed by Lakshamiah Avaru deceased wife of the late Dewa Parthiva Bahadur.

From

The Dy. Com^r, Mysore Dist

No. 239 Dated 25.7.24 Received 28.7.24

Diary No. 161	Enclos 1 file	Spare Copies. —	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Solicits orders of Govt as to whether the allowance of Rs 16-0-6 that was being till now paid to Lakshammanniavaru, should now be paid either to Mr. Ranjamaj Bahadur, Grand-son of Devaparthiva Bahadur or the latter's 2nd Widow Ranjammanniavaru

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1

G.O. no. 1975-Inam. 186-11-4 Dy 3-2-1914 directs that one-half of the Bhatamanya allowance of Rs 64-2-0 enjoyed by the late Devaparthiva Bahadur, viz

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—20,000-8 8 14

G. R. S. III-21

*General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inv. File No. 95 of 1921

Serial No.

Rs 32-1-0 Sanctioned to Sri his Son Sri Chamaraj Bahadur, and the remaining half to his widows for their lives as decreed by the Civil Court.

1 The Dy. Comr's. letter explains the facts of the case.

3 Submitted for orders

4 May the Financial

DV 10.8.21 Secretary be consulted

RL 11.8.21

5 Please get a d/y of the decree of the Civil Court

RL 11/8/21

6 Dft. Submitted

RM 11.8.21 RL 12/8/21 I Issued 13/8/21

2 To, D.C. My Sons.

No. 913 dt 19.8.21

Calls for certain copies connected with the case

3 From, the Dy. Comr, Mysore Dist.

Letter no. 239 dt 26.7.1922.

Submits a Razinama

Decree in OS no

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G.R.S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Jud. File No. 95 of 19 24

Serial No.

as well as the Decree in O.S. No. 34 of 89-20, and recommend that the Bhatamanya allowance in question may be distributed equally between Mr Navijaraj Bahadur, Grand-son of Devapattina Bahadur, and the surviving widow Navijammanni Avaru.

2 The allowance in question is the Bhatamanya allowance of Rs 16-0-6 enjoyed by the late [illegible]

3 The Rev. Commr. recommends that the allowance may be distributed equally between Mr Navijaraja Bahadur and Navijammanni Avaru as mentioned in Para 2?

Rd 8/8/25-10

Decree Hon? Need Lists etc

11 Accordn

G. R.-S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 95 of 1924.

Serial No.

equal shares, half the amount being payable to the widows Lakshammanni Avaru and Kempa Nanjammanni Avaru for their lives only.

Bb 8/8/25

Please lay this in person 4/8/25

13 The decree does not make any mention of the Brahmanya allowance in question. The widows of the late Devaparthipa Bahadur are entitled each to Rs 200 per mensem as maintenance and certain properties were given to them by Chamaraj Bahadur, (now deceased), on the promise that if the properties given to his step mothers did not fetch a monthly income of Rs 200 he would make good the difference. There is nothing in the decree authorising the widows

ar 9/8

attend to secretary's A.D. 10.8.25

of Devaparthipa Bahadur

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Revenue File No. 95 of 1921.

Serial No.

1

Department Bahadur to get any
portion of the Bahamanya
allowance. Nevertheless the widows
have been given a half of the
allowance (Vide P.O. dated
3.2.1914)

Under that order, there is nothing in
that the share of one widow (A) should
in the event of her death, go to
the surviving widow. So far as
the properties given to the widows are
concerned, the provision in the
decree is that on the death of
each widow, her share of the
"Moola Dhana" would go, not
to the surviving widow but to the
Son, or in the absence of a Son,
to the daughter of Chandrasekhar Bahadur.
The share of the
Bahamanya allowance stands on a
different footing as it was
being enjoyed by Lakshmanamma
and Jagadeeswara Bahadur, Son of Chandrasekhar Bahadur.

(Vide Member)

14/8/22

1476-416
15-8-22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

In the light of the terms of the S.O of 1914. I am inclined to think that on the death of one of the widows, her share does not go to the surviving widow but to the representative of the family in the male line. That is the 1/4 share of the Bhatmanya enjoyed by Lakshammanniyavaru. who deceased, both go to M. S. Chamaraj Bahadur, and not to the surviving Lady - Kempatammanni yavaru. whose share with continue to be what she has hitherto enjoyed viz 1/4 during her life time. The S. Co. recommends that the lapsed share shd be divided between the two survivors cannot be accepted.

Rees - 16.5.22

16/8 Dpl. S.S. & L.W.

17.8.22

Issue 21/25-18/22

4 To The S.C. Inspr. Comptroller The Nilagiri Coll.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. Thuz File No. 95 of 1921.

Serial No.	
	No 1109-11 26-9-22 Directs that the ½ share of the allowances enjoyed by the deceased Lady Shrimati [illegible] Navajiray Bahadur & Rampratapsingh [illegible] shall be continued to the ½ share of the [illegible]

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.



3166

From The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To The Secretary
to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Finance
Department, Bangalore.

Dated 23rd July 21.

Despatched 25th July 21.

Received

General No. Rep. pub. cons. 239 of 1921
No.

Enclosure No. one file.

Soliciting instructions as to the claim preferred by Narayanamanigavaru widow of the late Devaparthiva Bahadur for half a share of the Bhalamanya allowance of Rs. 64-2-0 payable from the Mysore Taluk.

-6

Recd

8

Office of the Deputy Commissioner-
Mysore District,
Dated, Mysore, the 23rd July 1921.

From,

P Bowring, Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

To,

T.E. Jayarama Iyer, Esqrz BaA., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzari Department.

B a n g a l o r e.

S i r,

I have the honor to state that the late Devarthiva Bahadur of Mysore was in receipt of a hereditary Dharmadaya allowance of Rs. 6-1-0 per annum from the Mysore Taluk. After his death, his son Dharmaraj Bahadur was receiving this full amount until disputes arose between him and the widows of the deceased. The widows filed suits against Dharmaraj Bahadur before the District Judge at Mysore and a decree was passed by which one half of the properties of the deceased Devarthiva Bahadur was made over to the widows for maintenance. Under this decree, a claim was preferred by them for the disbursement of one half of the Dharmadaya allowance referred to above. The matter was submitted to Government for orders. Government in their order no. 1975 Mun.186-11-7 dated 3rd February 1914, observed that a partition of this grant between the members of the same family was not opposed to its nature and directed the Deputy Commissioner accordingly to deal with the matter in accordance with the terms of the Civil Court decree according to which, half of the amount was made payable to the widows for their lives only. Thus Mr.Dharmaraj Bahadur was getting a sum of Rs.32-1-0 and the two widows viz. 1.Laxmmanniavaru and 2 Ranjanammiavaru together were getting the balance of 32-1-0 a year out of the Dharmadaya allowance granted to the family. Subsequently Mr.Dharmaraj Bahadur died and his son Mr.Ranjaraj Bahadur succeeded him and is being in receipt of his portion of the moiety viz.32-1-0.

Out of the two widows named above, Lazarman i varu is reported to have died last year (1920) . The Amildar of the Mysore Taluk in disbursing the allowance for the year 1919-20, has withheld the portion of the amount that was being paid to the deceased widow viz. 16-0-6 and paid Rs.28-1-0 to Mr. Manjaraj Bahadur as usual and Rs. 16-0-6 to Ranja Lazmiavaru. Now both these surviving members of the family adversely claim the amount withheld as being due to them.

I solicit instruction of Government as to how the amount of Rs.16-0-6 that was being until now paid to Lazmanniavaru should now be paid-either to Mr.Manjaraj Bahadur or to the 2nd widow Ranjammanniavaru.

The file of correspondence in connection with Mysore Sub Division Officer's No.R.Dis. on O.S.1'20-'0-21 dated 4th July 1921 is submitted herewith for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

G. A. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 191 .

Date of issue 14 9 21.

Signed by .....

FC 20 1

Section Finance

File No. 95 - 21

Serial No 2

No. G. 155

To

The Dy Commr,
Mysore Dist.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. 239 Dt 25th July 1921 soliciting instructions as to whom the allowance of Rs 16-0-6 now that was being paid to Lakshammanniamma deceased wife of the late Dewan Purnaiya Bahadur, shall be disbursed hereafter, I am directed

over.

to request that you will be
so good as to submit for reference
a certified copy of the
Raziname Decree passed in O.S. nos
14 and 15 of 1896-97
on the file of the Dist.
Judge's Court, Mysore, by which
one-half of the properties
of the late Dewa Parkunia
Bahadur were made over
to his widows for their maintenance
(2) The papers are herewith
returned for reference

Dist. Judge's Office
4/12/24

Dist. Judge's Secretary
12/12/24

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

4853
22-23
Secl
95-11-?

1977

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Bangalore.
Muzarai department.

Dated 25th July 1922

Despatched 26th July 1922

Received

General No. 11 Ret C 239/20-21 Muz
No.

Enclosure No 1 file

Subject

Submits a report with a Copy of the Rajinama decree passed on the file of the District Judge's Court, regarding the allowance till now paid to Lakshmiamanni wife of late Seshagiri Rao Bahadur.

No. Pet C. 239/20-21. Muzy

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated, Mysore.....25th.....July.....192 2

From

P. F. Bowring, Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

T.E.Jayarama Iyer, Esq. B.A. B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR, Muzrai Department

As desired in your letter No.913 Muz.95-21-2 dated 19th August 1921, I have the honor to submit herewith, for reference, a copy of the Mazahama decree passed in O. .Nos.14 and 15 of 1906-07 on the file of the District Munsif's court, Mysore, which goes to show that one half of the properties of the late Devaparthiva Bahadur were made over to him for his maintenance.

2. A copy of decree passed on 20th December 1920 in O.S. No.34/19-20 on the file of the above court, in respect of the claim to certain compensation amount for the acquisition of certain property belonging to the family of the late Devaparthiva Bahadur, is also forwarded herewith for reference along with the connected file of vernacular records received from the Sub Division Officer, [illegible].

3. Under the circumstances, I think the Khatamanya allowance in question may be distributed equally between Mr. Nanjaraja Bahadur the grandson of Devaparthava Bahadur, and the surviving widow Lanjammanniavaru.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

[illegible] s/-
for Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

13

G.R..S VI G 34]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to... ...683...

Section

File No.

Serial No. 19

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

, *the* 192

Date of issue...6-9-22.....

Signed by...Nm T.S.J.Rao.....

Read—

Govt Rso. no. 1975-hm3-186-11-7 dy 3rd Feby. 1916 directing that one-half of the Bhatavanamya allowance of ~~Rs~~ 64-2-0 enjoyed by the late Devapathiea Bahadur viz ~~Rs~~ 32-1-0 should be paid to his son Mr Channaraj Bahadur and the remaining half to the 2 widows for their lives only ~~ordered~~ by ~~the Govt~~ Govt,

Order Thereon No.

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Encl no. Ret. C. 239 . 26-7-1922
from the Dy. Comr, Mysore
dgd, reporting that all of the
2 widows, mentd above, Lakshammanni
Avaru & died last year, and
he recommends that the allowance
enjoyed by the lady be distributed
equally between Mr. Narajraj Bahadur,
Grand-Son of Devaptankuvar
Bahadur and the surviving
widow Narajammanni Avaru.

1109-11 Encl accpt
order thereon - No.
Jud 95-21-4

Govt observe that in the
light of the terms of the
G.O. of 1911; on the death of
one of the widows, her share
does not go to the surviving
widow, but to the representatives
of the family in the male
line. The Dy. Comrs
recommendation that the share of Lakshmi Ammanniavaru
Lakshmi Avaru should be
divided between the 2
surviving Comrs cannot be accepted

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1663—GPE—1,000,00—21-12-21

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

15

accordingly Govt ~~therefore~~ direct that the 1/4 Share of the Bhatavanya, hitherto enjoyed by the deceased lady ~~Lady Bhavanisankara Varma~~ ~~in deceased~~ shall be given to Sri D Chamaraj Bahadur. He surviving lady Kempanajammanni Bava hill continue to enjoy during her life-time 1/4 Share as hitherto.

By order,

17.8.22

Secretary